

Literatur zum Online-Vortrag: Worte als Werkzeug der Justiz (18.3.2025)

Arntzen, F. (1993). *Psychologie der Zeugenaussage. Systematik der Glaubwürdigkeitsmerkmale* (3. Aufl.). München: Beck

Campos-Pardillos, M.A. (2022). Metaphors as a Foundation for Judges' Reasoning and Narratives in Sentencing Remarks." In Seskauskienė, I. ed. [Metaphor in Legal Discourse](#). Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars, pp. 146-168.

Christmann, U. & Göhring, A. (2016). German Replication Study Nature Scientific Data DOI: 10.1038/sdata.2016.98;

Entmann, R.M. (1993). Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*. 43(4):51-5 DOI: [10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x](#)

Goff et al. (2008). Not yet human: Implicit knowledge, historical dehumanization, and contemporary consequences
JPSP, 94(2), 292-306.

Kniszka, N. (2022). Das Verbot von Suggestivfragen in der STOPP – ausreichend Schutz vor suggestiven Fragetechniken? Unveröff. Diplomarbeit, Rechtswissenschaft Univ. Linz

Loftus, E.F. & Palmer, J. (1974). Reconstruction of automobile destruction: An example of the interaction between language and memory. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 4, 19-31

Loftus E.F, Coan J., Pickrell, JE. Manufacturing false memories using bits of reality (1996). In Reder,L.,ed. *Implicit Memory and Metacognition* (195-220). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Martínez, C. A., & Leongómez, J. D. (2024). Dehumanization Effects on Agency, Punishment, and Resocialization Attributions Toward Ex-Perpetrators in Postconflict. *Peace and Conflict*, 30(3), 327-337. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pac0000710>

Mohnke, M., Roos, Y., & Christmann, U. (2023). Does metaphorical framing influence the decision-making process in a judicial conflict? An empirical study on the case of surrogates . *Psychology of Language and Communication*, 27 (1), 297-329

Mohnke, M. Christmann, U., Roos, Y. & Thomale, C. (2022). Do metaphors make opinions?: An empirical study on the effect of metaphorical framing on the opinion on surrogacy. *Metaphor and the Social World*. 12 (1), 92-114.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1075/msw.20028.moh>

Myers, B., Godwin, D., Latter, R., & Winstanley, S. (2004). Victim impact statements and mock juror sentencing: The impact of dehumanizing language on a death qualified sample. *American Journal of Forensic Psychology*, 22(2), 39–55.

Robins, S. (1996). *The Metaphor Framing Effect: The Influence of Metaphorical Reasoning on Everyday Decision Making*. University of California, Santa Barbara

Simson & Jerit (2007). Toward a theory relating political discourse, media, and public opinion. *Journal of Communication*, 57(2), 254–271

Schnepf, J. & Christmann, U. (2023). Domestic Drama,” “Love Killing,” or “Murder”: Does the Framing of Femicides Affect Readers’ Emotional and Cognitive Responses to the Crime? *Violence Against Women* Volume 30, Issue 10, p.2609-2631

Thibodeau, P. H., & Boroditsky, L. (2011). Metaphors we think with: The role of metaphor in reasoning. *PLoS ONE*, 6(2), Article e16782. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016782>

Wetzstein, I., Prinzellner, Y. & Kröpfl, E. (2024). Femicide coverage in German-language news. A content analysis of Austrian, German, and Swiss newspaper articles from 2018 to 2020. *Feminist Media Studies*, DOI: 10.1080/14680777.2024.2417996

https://www.bka.de/SharedDocs/Kurzmeldungen/DE/Kurzmeldungen/241119_BLBStraftaten_gegenFrauen2023.html